Annex 8 Inspection and Selection Scheme

1 Based on a ship's Risk Profile the Inspection and Selection Scheme determines the scope, frequency and priority of inspections.

2 Periodic Inspections are carried out at intervals determined by the ship risk profile.

3 Overriding or unexpected factors might trigger an inspection in between periodic inspections. This category of inspection is referred to as an Additional Inspection.

4 Ships become due for periodic inspection in the following time windows:

   For HRS – between 5-6 months after the last inspection in the Paris MoU region.
   For SRS – between 10-12 months after the last inspection in the Paris MoU region.
   For LRS – between 24-36 months after the last inspection in the Paris MoU region.

5 Periodic Inspections and Additional Inspections count equally. Therefore the time span for the next periodic inspection re-starts after an additional inspection.

6 The selection scheme is divided into two priorities:

   Priority I: ships must be inspected because either the time window has closed or there is an overriding factor

   Priority II: ships may be inspected because they are within the time window or the port State considers an unexpected factor warrants an inspection

7 If a Priority II periodic inspection is not performed the ship remains Priority II until the time window closes and the ship becomes Priority I.

8 In the case of Unexpected Factors the need to undertake an additional inspection is left to the discretion of the Authority. If such a Priority II inspection is not performed it remains a Priority II ship if and when it arrives in another MoU port unless the Authority judges that any relevant information that it has received does not warrant being passed on.

9 The priority and the level of selection will be shown for each ship in the information system.

10 The category and type of inspection carried out is determined by the matrix in Table 3 below:

Including 37th Amendment, adopted 23 May 2014 (effective date: 1 July 2014)
Table 3 Selection Scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Category of inspection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ship must be inspected</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overriding factor</td>
<td>Additional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRS not inspected in last 6 months</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRS not inspected in last 12 months</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ship not inspected in last 36 months</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ship may be inspected</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRS not inspected in last 5 months</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ship with unexpected factors</td>
<td>Additional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRS not inspected in last 10 months</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LRS not inspected in last 24 months</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overriding and Unexpected Factors

Overriding Factors

11 The overriding factors listed below are considered sufficiently serious to trigger an additional inspection at Priority I:

- Ships reported by another Member State or the secretariat excluding unexpected factors,
- Ships involved in a collision, grounding or stranding on their way to port,
- Ships accused of an alleged violation of the provisions on discharge of harmful substances or effluents,
- Ships which have been manoeuvred in an erratic or unsafe manner whereby routing measures, adopted by the IMO, or safe navigational practices and procedures have not been followed,
- Ships which have been suspended or withdrawn from their Class for safety reasons after last PSC inspection,
- Ships which cannot be identified in the database.

Unexpected Factors

12 Unexpected factors could indicate a serious threat to the safety of the ship and the crew or to the environment but the need to undertake an additional inspection is for the professional judgement of the Authority. These factors include:

- Ships reported by pilots or relevant authorities which may include information from Vessel Traffic Services about ships’ navigation,
- Ships which did not comply with the reporting obligations,
- Ships reported with outstanding deficiencies (except those with code 16 (within fourteen days) and code 17 (before departure))
- Previously detained ships (3 months after the detention),
- Ships which have been the subject of a report or complaint by the master, a seafarer, or any person or organization with a legitimate interest in the safe operation of the ship, ship

Including 37th Amendment, adopted 23 May 2014 (effective date: 1 July 2014)
- Ships operated in a manner to pose a danger,
- Ships reported with problems concerning their cargo, in particular noxious or dangerous cargo,
- Ships where information from a reliable source became known, that their risk parameters differ from the recorded ones and the risk level is thereby increased,
- Ships carrying certificates issued by a formerly Paris MoU recognized organization whose recognition has been withdrawn since the last inspection in the Paris MoU region.

13 Ships with unexpected factors which have not been inspected may be reported to the information system and remain eligible for inspection in subsequent ports as Priority II.

14 The provisions of the Memorandum apply to a ship covered by a system of mandatory surveys for the safe operation of regular ro-ro ferry and high-speed passenger craft services if the Memorandum applies to the ship. However a survey of a ship which is carried out by an Authority (not being the flag Administration of the ship) in accordance with on a system of mandatory surveys for the safe operation of regular ro-ro ferry and high-speed passenger craft services will be considered as an expanded inspection, or more detailed inspection as relevant. If the ship is not indicated as Priority I in the Information System the survey will be recorded as a Priority II inspection.